

Axion couplings during inflation

MOCa

Juan P. Beltrán Almeida

Universidad Antonio Nariño

Bogotá, 28 de junio de 2017.

1 Inflation with pseudoscalar-vector interactions

2 Particle production

3 Some expectations. NG, GW

4 Final remarks

Some motivations

Some motivations/concerns and expectations

- Pseudoscalars/axions are suitable candidates for dark matter.
- If present during inflation, DM particles should leave some imprint in the CMB.
- Cosmological observations can be used to constraint DM models (couplings): isocurvature, non-gaussianity, scale dependence, anisotropies, etc.
- Pseudoscalar fields offer a rich phenomenology in inflationary physics.
- Signatures of statistical anisotropies and parity violation in CMB correlators.
- Signatures of anisotropic and parity violating non-Gaussianity.
- Enhancement of gravitation waves. Chiral GW.
- Effects on LSS. Non-Gaussian & anisotropic bias.
- ...

Some motivations

Some motivations/concerns and expectations

- Pseudoscalars/axions are suitable candidates for dark matter.
- If present during inflation, DM particles should leave some imprint in the CMB.
- Cosmological observations can be used to constraint DM models (couplings): isocurvature, non-gaussianity, scale dependence, anisotropies, etc.
- Pseudoscalar fields offer a rich phenomenology in inflationary physics.
- Signatures of statistical anisotropies and parity violation in CMB correlators.
- Signatures of anisotropic and parity violating non-Gaussianity.
- Enhancement of gravitation waves. Chiral GW.
- Effects on LSS. Non-Gaussian & anisotropic bias.
- ...

1 Inflation with pseudoscalar-vector interactions

2 Particle production

3 Some expectations. NG, GW

4 Final remarks

Stable gauge invariant abelian models. Scalar + vector

- General scalar(pseudoscalar) + vector models (allowing for derivative interactions):

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [R - \mathcal{L}(\phi, A_\mu)]$$

- Stable and causal gauge invariant ($A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha$) models:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\mathcal{L}_{gravity} - \mathcal{L}_{\Phi_I}(\Phi_I, \partial\Phi_I) - \frac{1}{4} f_1(\Phi_I) F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} f_2(\Phi_I) F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \right]$$

A saucerful of (scalar-vector-gravity) secrets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{gravity} &\Rightarrow R + h(\Phi_I) R \\ \mathcal{L}_{\Phi_I}(\Phi_I, \partial\Phi_I) &\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\phi_{Inf}, \sigma_{DM}) \\ f_1(\phi) F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} &\Rightarrow \text{Non-diluting anisotropic source ("anisotropic hair").} \\ f_2(\phi) F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} &\Rightarrow \text{Parity symmetry breaking. Ex. } \frac{\alpha\phi}{4f} F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

Stable gauge invariant abelian models. Scalar + vector

- General scalar(pseudoscalar) + vector models (allowing for derivative interactions):

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [R - \mathcal{L}(\phi, A_\mu)]$$

- Stable and causal gauge invariant ($A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha$) models:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\mathcal{L}_{gravity} - \mathcal{L}_{\Phi_I}(\Phi_I, \partial\Phi_I) - \frac{1}{4} f_1(\Phi_I) F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} f_2(\Phi_I) F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \right]$$

A saucerful of (scalar-vector-gravity) secrets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{gravity} &\Rightarrow R + h(\Phi_I) R \\ \mathcal{L}_{\Phi_I}(\Phi_I, \partial\Phi_I) &\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\phi_{Inf}, \sigma_{DM}) \\ f_1(\phi) F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} &\Rightarrow \text{Non-diluting anisotropic source ("anisotropic hair").} \\ f_2(\phi) F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} &\Rightarrow \text{Parity symmetry breaking. Ex. } \frac{\alpha\phi}{4f} F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

Stable gauge invariant abelian models. Scalar + vector

- General scalar(pseudoscalar) + vector models (allowing for derivative interactions):

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [R - \mathcal{L}(\phi, A_\mu)]$$

- Stable and causal gauge invariant ($A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha$) models:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\mathcal{L}_{gravity} - \mathcal{L}_{\Phi_I}(\Phi_I, \partial\Phi_I) - \frac{1}{4} f_1(\Phi_I) F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} f_2(\Phi_I) F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \right]$$

A saucerful of (scalar-vector-gravity) secrets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{gravity} &\Rightarrow R + h(\Phi_I)R \\ \mathcal{L}_{\Phi_I}(\Phi_I, \partial\Phi_I) &\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\phi_{Inf}, \sigma_{DM}) \\ f_1(\phi) F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} &\Rightarrow \text{Non-diluting anisotropic source ("anisotropic hair").} \\ f_2(\phi) F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} &\Rightarrow \text{Parity symmetry breaking. Ex. } \frac{\alpha\phi}{4f} F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

Consequences of vector fields during inflation

Anisotropic and parity violating correlations in models of the form (some type of) $f_1(\phi)F^2 + f_2(\phi)F\tilde{F}$, Dimopoulos, Karčiauskas, Wagstaff, Bartolo, Dimastrogiovanni, Matarrese, Riotto, Liguori, Ricciardone, Peloso, Valenzuela-Toledo, Rodríguez, Lyth, Gumrukcuoglu, Himmetoglu, Shiraishi, Komatsu, Barnaby, Watanabe, Kanno, Soda, Sorbo, Emami, Firouzjahi...

Angle dependent correlations

$$P_\zeta(k) \equiv \frac{2\pi^2}{k^3} \mathcal{P}_\zeta \left(\frac{k}{aH} \right)^{n_\zeta - 1}, \quad \mathcal{P}_\zeta \sim (5 \times 10^{-5})^2$$

$$P_\zeta(k) \Rightarrow P_\zeta(\vec{k}) = P_\zeta(k) \left[1 + g_\zeta (\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n})^2 \right],$$

$$B_\zeta(k_1, k_2, k_3) \Rightarrow B_\zeta(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3) = B_\zeta \left[1 + g_\zeta b_1(\hat{k}_i, \hat{n}) + g_\zeta^2 b_2(\hat{k}_i, \hat{n}) \right],$$

...

$$g_\zeta \sim 0,3 \quad (\text{back in 2009}), \quad \hat{n} \rightarrow \text{along the ecliptic}$$

$$-0,023 < g_\zeta < 0,036 \quad \underline{\text{Planck 2015.}}$$

1 Inflation with pseudoscalar-vector interactions

2 Particle production

3 Some expectations. NG, GW

4 Final remarks

Particle production. Equations of motion

Background metric

Background metric in conformal time

$$ds^2 = a(\tau)^2(-d\tau^2 + dx_i dx^i),$$

Assume nearly de Sitter geometry $a(\tau) \approx -1/H\tau$ with constant Hubble parameter H ,

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{H^2 \tau^2}(-d\tau^2 + dx_i dx^i).$$

Equations of motion

$$S_{\phi A} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[f_1(\phi) F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + f_2(\phi) F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \right]$$

\Downarrow

$$\nabla_\mu \left(f_1(\phi) F^{\mu\nu} + f_2(\phi) \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right) = 0, \quad \& \quad \nabla_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

Equations of motion

Homogeneous scalar field $\partial_i \phi = 0 \Rightarrow f_1(\phi) = f_1(\phi(\tau)), f_2(\phi) = f_2(\phi(\tau)).$
 $\Rightarrow \partial_i f_1 = \partial_i f_2 = 0$ Ex. $f_1 = 1/4, f_2 = \alpha\phi/4f.$

$$\phi'' + 2aH\phi' + a^2 \frac{dV}{d\phi} = \frac{\alpha}{f} a^2 \langle \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \rangle$$

$$A_i'' - \nabla^2 A_i - \frac{\alpha\phi'}{f} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j A_k = 0,$$

Polarisation decomposition

$$\vec{\epsilon}_\lambda(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{k} = 0, \quad \vec{k} \times \vec{\epsilon}_\lambda = -i\lambda|\vec{k}|\vec{\epsilon}_\lambda, \quad \vec{\epsilon}_\lambda \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{\lambda'} = \delta_{\lambda, -\lambda'}, \quad \vec{\epsilon}_\lambda^*(\hat{k}) = \vec{\epsilon}_{-\lambda}(\hat{k}) = \vec{\epsilon}_\lambda(-\hat{k}).$$

$$A_\pm'' + \left(k^2 \pm \frac{2k\xi}{\tau} \right) A_\pm = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \xi \equiv \frac{\alpha\dot{\phi}_0}{2fH}.$$

$$A_+ \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \left(\frac{k}{2\xi aH} \right)^{1/4} e^{\pi\xi - 2\sqrt{2\xi k/aH}}.$$

Anber & Sorbo PRD 85 123537 (2012)

1 Inflation with pseudoscalar-vector interactions

2 Particle production

3 Some expectations. NG, GW

4 Final remarks

Some expectations

Multipolar expansion of the BS

$$B_{\zeta} = \sum_{L=0} c_L P_L(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_2) P(k_1) P(k_2) + \text{perm.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_L &= \text{observable parameters} \\ P_L &= \text{Legendre polynomials} \end{aligned}$$

$c_L \rightarrow$ encodes information about statistical anisotropy and parity violation.

$$g = -\frac{48N_{CMB}^2 \rho_{Evev}}{\epsilon \rho_{\phi}}, \quad c_0 = -\frac{4N_{CMB}}{3\pi} \frac{e^{2\pi\xi}}{\xi^3} g, \quad c_1 \neq 0 = -\frac{3c_0}{2}, \quad c_2 = \frac{c_0}{2}$$

Bartolo, Matarrese, Peloso, Shiraishi, JCAP07(2015)039

$$\text{Planck 2015} \rightarrow -0,0225 < g < 0,0363, \quad -10,7 < c_0 < 16,7, \\ -89 < c_1 < 324, \quad -57 < c_2 < 47.$$

Optimal estimator for tracking the parity odd features.

Some expectations

Multipolar expansion of the BS

$$B_{\zeta} = \sum_{L=0} c_L P_L(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_2) P(k_1) P(k_2) + \text{perm.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_L &= \text{observable parameters} \\ P_L &= \text{Legendre polynomials} \end{aligned}$$

$c_L \rightarrow$ encodes information about statistical anisotropy and parity violation.

$$g = -\frac{48N_{CMB}^2 \rho_{Evev}}{\epsilon \rho_{\phi}}, \quad c_0 = -\frac{4N_{CMB}}{3\pi} \frac{e^{2\pi\xi}}{\xi^3} g, \quad c_1 \neq 0 = -\frac{3c_0}{2}, \quad c_2 = \frac{c_0}{2}$$

Bartolo, Matarrese, Peloso, Shiraishi, JCAP07(2015)039

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Planck 2015} \rightarrow & -0,0225 < g < 0,0363, \quad -10,7 < c_0 < 16,7, \\ & -89 < c_1 < 324, \quad -57 < c_2 < 47. \end{aligned}$$

Optimal estimator for tracking the parity odd features.

Gravitational waves production

Equation of motion for the tensor modes (using gauge $h_i{}^i = \partial_j h_{ij} = 0$):

$$\frac{d^2 h_{ij}}{d\tau^2} + 2\frac{a'}{a} \frac{dh_{ij}}{d\tau} - \Delta h_{ij} = \frac{2}{M_p^2} T_{ij} = \frac{2}{M_p^2} \Pi_{ij}{}^{lm} T_{lm}.$$

Projector operator:

$$\Pi_{ij}{}^{lm} = \Pi_i{}^l \Pi_j{}^m - \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{ij} \Pi^{lm}, \quad \Pi_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\Delta}.$$

- $\Pi_{ij}{}^{lm}$ projects the spatial energy-momentum tensor T_{ij} in the transverse direction.
- T_{ij} is traceless and divergenceless: $T_{ii} = \partial_j T_{ji} = 0$.
- Gravitational waves are only sourced by the transverse components \tilde{A}_{\pm} .

Gravitational waves production

Equation of motion for the tensor modes (using gauge $h_i{}^i = \partial_j h_{ij} = 0$):

$$\frac{d^2 h_{ij}}{d\tau^2} + 2\frac{a'}{a}\frac{dh_{ij}}{d\tau} - \Delta h_{ij} = \frac{2}{M_p^2} T_{ij} = \frac{2}{M_p^2} \Pi_{ij}{}^{lm} T_{lm}.$$

Projector operator:

$$\Pi_{ij}{}^{lm} = \Pi_i{}^l \Pi_j{}^m - \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{ij} \Pi^{lm}, \quad \Pi_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\Delta}.$$

- $\Pi_{ij}{}^{lm}$ projects the spatial energy-momentum tensor T_{ij} in the transverse direction.
- T_{ij} is traceless and divergenceless: $T_{ii} = \partial_j T_{ji} = 0$.
- Gravitational waves are only sourced by the transverse components \tilde{A}_{\pm} .

Gravitational waves production

EM tensor for the vector field:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = f_1 \left(\frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} F^2 - F_{\mu\alpha} F_{\nu}{}^{\alpha} \right) - m^2 (A_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} A^2)$$

Spatial components :

$$T_{ij} = -f_1 F_{i\alpha} F_j{}^{\alpha} - m^2 A_i A_j + \left(\frac{f_1}{4} F^2 - \frac{m^2}{2} A^2 \right) \delta_{ij}.$$

EM components that source tensor modes equation:

$$T_{ij} = \Pi_{ij}{}^{lm} \left[-f_1 F_{l\alpha} F_m{}^{\alpha} - m^2 A_l A_m + (\dots) \delta_{lm} \right]. \quad (1)$$

(\dots) terms are projected out by $\Pi_{ij}{}^{lm}$.

Canonical variables $\tilde{h}_{ij} = a h_{ij}$:

$$\frac{d^2 \tilde{h}_{ij}}{d\tau^2} - \frac{a''}{a} \tilde{h}_{ij} - \Delta \tilde{h}_{ij} = \frac{2a}{M_p^2} T_{ij} = \frac{2a}{M_p^2} \Pi_{ij}{}^{lm} \left[-f_1 F_{l\alpha} F_m{}^{\alpha} - m^2 A_l A_m \right]. \quad (2)$$

⇓ Fourier transform

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a} \right) \right] \tilde{h}_{ij}(\vec{k}) = \frac{2a}{M_p^2} T_{ij}(\vec{k}).$$

Chiral GW

Enhancement of the + polarisation (Sorbo JCAP06(2011)003, Barnaby, Moxon, Namba, Peloso, Shiu, Zhou PhysRevD.86.103508, Cook & Sorbo JCAP11(2013)047, ...)

$$\mathcal{P}^+ = \frac{H^2}{\pi^2 M_P^2} \left(1 + 8,6 \times 10^{-7} \frac{H^2}{M_P^2} \frac{e^{4\pi\xi}}{\xi^6} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{P}^- = \frac{H^2}{\pi^2 M_P^2} \left(1 + 1,8 \times 10^{-9} \frac{H^2}{M_P^2} \frac{e^{4\pi\xi}}{\xi^6} \right)$$

Non minimal coupling to gravity

Adding non minimal coupling to gravity modify the results for the scalar correlators. In particular, it modifies n_s and r .

$$V(\phi, \sigma) \Rightarrow V_E = \frac{V(\phi, \sigma)}{h(\phi, \sigma)}$$

Slow roll parameters are modified

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon &= \frac{1}{2} M_{\text{P}}^2 \left(\frac{V'_E}{V_E \phi'} \right)^2 \\ \eta &= M_{\text{P}}^2 \left(\frac{V''_E}{V_E \phi'^2} - \frac{V'_E \phi''}{V_E \phi'^3} \right)\end{aligned}$$

GW statistics, anisotropic bias and anisotropic IC

Testing the statistics of GW, Shiraishi, Hikage, Namba, Namikawa, Hazumi
arXiv:1606.06082. (Scale dependent and sizeable GW due to source fields like
axions)

$$\langle B^+ B^+ \rangle$$

Non-gaussianity in B-modes $\langle B^+ B^+ B^+ \rangle$ at 3σ with LiteBIRD

Scale and c_L depending bias

$$P_g = b_1^2 P_m$$

$$P_g = b_1^2(g, c_0, c_1, c_2) P_m + \dots$$

GW statistics, anisotropic bias and anisotropic IC

Testing the statistics of GW, Shiraishi, Hikage, Namba, Namikawa, Hazumi
arXiv:1606.06082. (Scale dependent and sizeable GW due to source fields like
axions)

$$\langle B^+ B^+ \rangle$$

Non-gaussianity in B-modes $\langle B^+ B^+ B^+ \rangle$ at 3σ with LiteBIRD

Scale and c_L depending bias

$$P_g = b_1^2 P_m$$

$$P_g = b_1^2(g, c_0, c_1, c_2) P_m + \dots$$

GW statistics, anisotropic bias and anisotropic IC

Testing the statistics of GW, Shiraishi, Hikage, Namba, Namikawa, Hazumi
arXiv:1606.06082. (Scale dependent and sizeable GW due to source fields like
axions)

$$\langle B^+ B^+ \rangle$$

Non-gaussianity in B-modes $\langle B^+ B^+ B^+ \rangle$ at 3σ with LiteBIRD

Scale and c_L depending bias

$$P_g = b_1^2 P_m$$

$$P_g = b_1^2(g, c_0, c_1, c_2) P_m + \dots$$

GW statistics, anisotropic bias and anisotropic IC

Testing the statistics of GW, Shiraishi, Hikage, Namba, Namikawa, Hazumi
arXiv:1606.06082. (Scale dependent and sizeable GW due to source fields like
axions)

$$\langle B^+ B^+ \rangle$$

Non-gaussianity in B-modes $\langle B^+ B^+ B^+ \rangle$ at 3σ with LiteBIRD

Scale and c_L depending bias

$$P_g = b_1^2 P_m$$

$$P_g = b_1^2(g, c_0, c_1, c_2) P_m + \dots$$

1 Inflation with pseudoscalar-vector interactions

2 Particle production

3 Some expectations. NG, GW

4 Final remarks

Final remarks and hopes

- DM models can be constrained by cosmological observations. Selfcouplings and couplings with the inflaton of the DM sector can be constrained by isocurvature, non-gaussianity, GW, etc.
- Non minimal coupling with gravity is an interesting avenue to explore. This can bring some interesting models such as natural and chaotic inflation inside the allowed n_s, r region.
- Interesting possibilities for the GW production mechanism with a pseudoscalar coupling term. Scale dependent GW.
- Statistical anisotropy, parity violating and scale dependent effect are interesting effects that naturally arise in vector field models.